Naturalization Actions

Margaret D. Stock (DL), AILA Author, Immigration Law & the Military, 2nd Ed., Anchorage, AK Ofelia L. Calderon, Fairfax, VA Kate Melloy Goettel, Chicago, IL

2018 AILA Federal Court Conference and Webcast: © 2018 American Immigration Lawyers Association



Getting Your Case Ready for Federal Court: Pre-Interview, Post-Interview, and Denial

- Filing a Litigation-Ready N400
- FOIA/PA Requests
- Preparing the Client for the N400 Interview After the N400 interview
- 120 day clock
- Denial Decisions & the N336
 Gathering Records to draft a Complaint

2018 AILA Federal Court Conference and V © 2018 American Immigration Lawyers Ass



Litigating Denials

Exhaust administrative appeals, N-336

• 180 days for USCIS to process

8 USC §1421(c) -de novo review

2018 AILA Federal Court Conference and Webcast: Removal Litiga © 2018 American Immigration Lawyers Association

Litigating Delays

120 days after interview, no decision

• INA §336(b), 8 USC §1447(b)

No interview = regular mandamus action

Mandamus and Venue Act, 28 U.S.C. §
 1361

2018 AILA Federal Court Conference and Webcast: Removal Litigat © 2018 American Immigration Lawyers Association

Typical Issues in Naturalization Litigation

- Good moral character/criminal convictions
- Lying/material misrepresentations
- Requisite legal permanent residency
 Ongoing removal proceedings (bar at 8 USC 1429)

 Military exception (INA 328, 329)
- Failure to lawfully acquire LPR status
- Delayed naturalization cases concurrent versus exclusive jurisdiction

2018 AILA Federal Court Conference and Webcast: Removal Litig © 2018 American Immigration Lawyers Association



Denaturalization Cases

- Civil
- INA 340(a), 8 USC 1451
- No criminal intent or low
- level offenses
- · Result? LPR status
- Criminal
- 18 USC 1425 & INA 340(e)
- Usually when alien concealed major crime before naturalizing

2018 AILA Federal Court Conference and Webcast: Removal Litiga © 2018 American Immigration Lawyers Association

Maslenjak v. United States

- It is "rather surprising that the government of the United States thinks" that the naturalization laws should be "interpreted in a way that would throw into doubt the citizenship of uner doubt the citizenship of vast percentages of all naturalized citizens." - Justice Stephen G. Breyer
- (S. Ct. 2017) hat "If you take the position that not answering about the speeding ticket or the nickname is enough to subject that person to denaturalization, the government will have the opportunity to denaturalize anyone they want." Chief Justice John G. Roberts

2018 AILA Federal Court Conference and Webcast: © 2018 American Immigration Lawyers Association



Questions? Comments? 2018 AILA Federal Court Conference and Web © 2018 American Immigration Lawyers Assoc